

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,859	12/17/2004	Kazuhiko Inoue	18493	5819
23389 SCHILLY SCC	7590 12/01/200 OTT MURPHY & PRES	EXAM	EXAMINER	
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Olimbia, Cl	1,111 11000		1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/518,859	INOUE ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796				

Ç	ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
ĺ	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
ı	THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
		: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the happeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
I	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the factors.	final rejection
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONI	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorted set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
ı	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	with 27 CER 41 27 must be filed within two months of the data of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension t Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pric (a) They raise new issues that would require further considera	
	 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or 	n for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresp NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	conding number of finally rejected claims.
l	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable.	- e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 50,67-70 and 134.	
l	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 43,44,49,51-54 and 63-65.	
l	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
I	8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before	e or on the date of filing a Notice of Anneal will not be entered
		cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and w 	ne all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
l	11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	
	moldable Examiner disagrees. Chen discloses a modified Sac	position, which does not have reversible covalent bonds and is not ccharide, Polyester, Polyalkylene Oxide (polyols) and Aminoacid
		35, Figures 1 and 12, Examples I1-1, II1-1 and 111-2). A functional
	group can be dienyl, carboxyl, hydroxyl and others. 2. Applican However, Ruben used in the Rejection to demonstrate a molda	
	thermoreversible resin. 3. Applicant submits that reliance upon similar environment to the claimed subject matter, is not appro-	Helmus, which purports to show the use of PLA in a purportedly priate. Examiner disagrees. Helmus teaches a use of PLA that is
		position has the same primary application as Chen's one. Helmut
	polysaccharides and polylactic acid can be equally used in the	rmoreversible gel compositions (see lines 0114 and 0116).
	 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/S ☐ Other: 	obiud) raper No(s)
П	- -	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)
| /James J. Seidleck/

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091129